

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,690	08/25/2003	Naoki Yoshida	0505-1234P	9964
2292	7590 12/07/2004		EXAM	INER
BIRCH STE PO BOX 747	WART KOLASCH &	PEDDER, DENNIS H		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3612	
		DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/646,690	YOSHIDA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dennis H. Pedder	3612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a report within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT. It cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 No.	<u>ovember 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 6 and 13-15 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers	·				
`` <u> </u>	r				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 August 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Ap	pplication No			
application from the International Bureau	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not r	eceived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/646,690 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 7, 8, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maruoka.

Note cowl 11, mounting surfaces in figures 10-11 and elongated upper holes 17 and lower holes 16, figure 4.

See spacers 18 and 10, respectively, claims 4 and 11.

As to claim 7, both cowl and windshield are curved rearwardly.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

Application/Control Number: 10/646,690 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 2-3, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over of Maruoka in view of Armstrong

"Integrally formed" is not limited to --one piece--, but conveys --attached-- per dictionary definitions.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in each of the references above bosses 60,62 as taught by Armstrong in order to protect the windshield from damage.

6. Claims 5, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Maruoka in view of Armstrong as applied to claims 2 and 9 above, and further in view of Vezza.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in the referenced combination above spacers 9 as taught by Vezza in order to space the windshield from its mounting structure in order to reduce frictional damage, for example.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 6, 13-15 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 11/12/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The drawings of 8/25/2003 are acceptable.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,690 Page 4

Art Unit: 3612

Applicant's amendment of claim 7 necessitated the new rejection thereof as details of this claim which needed allowable claim 6 for dependency have been deleted.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Vetter Fairing Co. is cited to show vertically aligned holes in both fairing and cowl.
- 10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (703) 308-2178. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dennis H. Pedder
Primary Examiner

12/3/04

Page 5

Art Unit 3612

DHP 12/3/2004